
FIXED POINT OF STRICTLY PSEUDOCONTRACTIVE MAPPING IN REAL HILBERT SPACE

CHETAN KUMAR SAHU¹, SUBHASH CHANDRA SHRIVASTAVA² AND S. BISWAS³

^{1*3}*Kalinga University Raipur*

²*Rungta College of Engineering & Technology Bhilai*

ABSTRACT: It is known that strictly pseudocontractive mappings have more powerful applications than nonexpansive mappings in solving inverse problems. In this paper, we devote to study computing the fixed points of strictly pseudocontractive mappings. The purpose of this paper is to study the existence of uniqueness of fixed point for a class of nonlinear mappings defined on real Hilbert space, which among others, contains the class of set of contractive mappings.

KEYWORDS: Fixed point, Pseudocontractive mappings, Hilbert space, Nonlinear integral equation.

1. INTRODUCTION: Most important nonlinear problems of applied mathematics reduce to finding resolutions of nonlinear functional equations (e.g. nonlinear integral equations, boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary or partial differential equations, the existence of periodic solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations). It can be formulated in terms of finding the fixed points of a given nonlinear mapping on an infinite dimensional function space X into itself. The theory of fixed point is one of the most powerful tool of modern mathematical analysis. Theorem concerning the existence and properties of fixed points are known as fixed point theorem. Fixed point theory is a beautiful mixture of analysis, topology & geometry which has many applications in various fields such as mathematics engineering, physics, economics, game theory, biology, chemistry, optimization theory and approximation theory etc. Fixed point theory has its own importance and developed tremendously for the last one and half century.

2. PRELIMINARIES: In the sequel we shall make use of the following notations, definitions, lemmas and theorems.

Definition 2.1 . The mapping T is said to be uniformly L -Lipschitzian, if there exists $L > 0$ such that, for all $x, y \in D(T)$

$$\|T^n x - T^m y\| \leq L \|x - y\|$$

Definition 2.2. T is said to be nonexpansive if for all $x, y \in D(T)$;

The following inequality holds:

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\| \text{ for all } x, y \in D(T);$$

Definition 2.3. T is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive, if there exists a sequence $\{K_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset [1; \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n = 1$ such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq K_n \|x - y\| \text{ for all } x, y \in D(T); n \geq 0:$$

Definition 2.4. Let X be a Banach space, B a closed ball centered at the origin in X , and $T: B \rightarrow X$ a pseudo-contractive mapping $(\lambda - 1) \|x - y\| \leq \|(\lambda I - T)(x) - (\lambda I - T)(y)\|$ for all $x, y \in B$ and $\lambda > 1$.

Definition 2.5. T is said to be asymptotically pseudocontractive, if there exists a sequence $\{K_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset [1; \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n = 1$ and $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ such that

$$\langle T^n x - T^n y, j(x-y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 \text{ for all } x, y \in D(T); n \geq 0$$

Definition 2.6. A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be strictly pseudocontractive if there exists a constant $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ such that $\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \lambda \|(I-T)x - (I-T)y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$.

Definition 2.7. A mapping T is said to be lie on the ray from the identity mapping I generated by U (denoted by $\text{Ray}(U)$) if there exist constant $t > 0$ such that $T = I + t(U - I)$

Definition 2.8. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be in class M_2 if there exist a constant $\beta, 0 < \beta < 1$

Such that $\|Tx - Ty, x-y\| \geq \beta \|Tx - Ty\|^2$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Remark 1. 1. It is easy to see that every asymptotically nonexpansive mapping is uniformly L -Lipschitzian.

2. If T is asymptotically nonexpansive mapping then, for all $x, y \in D(T)$;

there exists $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that

$$\langle T^n x - T^n y, j(x - y) \rangle \leq \|T^n x - T^n y\| \|x - y\|, n \geq 0:$$

Hence, every asymptotically nonexpansive mapping is asymptotically pseudocontractive.

Theorem 3.1 (a) A mapping $U: X \rightarrow X$ is strictly pseudocontractive if and only if $T = I - U$ lies in M_2

(b) U is strictly pseudocontractive implies that $\text{Ray}(U)$ is strictly pseudocontractive

Proof (a): Suppose that $T = I - U \in M_2$ therefore

$$\|Tx - Ty, x-y\| \geq \beta \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ux - Uy\|^2 &= \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 + \|Tx - Ty\|^2 - 2\|Tx - Ty, x-y\| \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|Tx - Ty\|^2 - 2\beta \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 + (1-2\beta) \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 + k \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \text{ Where } k = (1-2\beta) < 1 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 + k \|(I - U)x - (I - U)y\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that U is strictly pseudocontractive

Conversely, suppose that $T=I-U$ with U is strictly pseudocontractive

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } \|Ux - Uy\|^2 &\leq \|x - y\|^2 + k \|(I - U)x - (I - U)y\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 + k \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \|Ux - Uy\|^2 &= \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|Tx - Ty\|^2 - 2\|Tx - Ty, x-y\| \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 + k \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Which implies } \|Tx - Ty, x-y\| \geq \left(\frac{1-k}{2}\right) \|Tx - Ty\|^2$$

$$\text{This shows that } T \in M_2 \text{ with } \beta = \left(\frac{1-k}{2}\right)$$

(b) Let U be pseudocontractive, which implies that $I-U \in M_2$, if the mapping W is lie from identity mapping I generated by U then there exist $t > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} W &= I + t(U - I) \\ \Rightarrow t(I - U) &= I - W \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} I - U &\in M_2 \\ \Rightarrow t(I - U) &\in M_2 \\ \Rightarrow I - W &\in M_2 \\ \Rightarrow W &\text{ is strictly pseudocontractive by part (a)} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2 U is strictly pseudo contractive if and only if there exist an element $W \in \text{Ray}(U)$ such that W is nonexpansive.

Proof: Suppose there exist $W \in \text{Ray}(U)$ such that W is nonexpansive then there exist $t > 1$ such that $W = I + t(U - I)$ which implies. Now $W = I + t(U - I)$

$$\Rightarrow I-W=t(I-U)$$

$$\Rightarrow I-W= tT \text{ where } T=I-U$$

Since W is nonexpansive therefore $\|Wx-Wy\| \leq \|x-y\|$

$$\Rightarrow \|Wx - Wy\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|(I - tT)x - (I - tT)y\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x - y\|^2 + \|tTx - tTy\|^2 - 2\|tTx-tTy, x-y\|$$

$$\leq \|x - y\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|tTx - tTy\|^2 - 2\|tTx-tTy, x-y\| \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Tx-Ty, x-y\| \geq \beta \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \text{ for some } 0 < \beta < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow T=I-U \in M_2$$

$\Rightarrow U$ is strictly pseudocontractive by theorem 3.1 (a)

Conversely, suppose U is strictly pseudocontractive. By theorem 3.1 $T=I-U \in M_2$ and hence

$$\|Tx-Ty, x-y\| \geq \beta \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \text{ for for some } \beta > 0$$

Consider the mapping $U_t = I + t(U-I)$ in $\text{Ray}(U)$ for $t > 0$

$$\text{Now } U_t = I + t(U-I)$$

$$\Rightarrow U_t = I - tT \text{ it follows that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_t x - U_t y\|^2 &= \|(I - tT)x - (I - tT)y\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 + t^2 \|Tx - Ty\|^2 - 2t \|Tx-Ty, x-y\| \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 + t^2 \|Tx - Ty\|^2 - 2t\beta \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 + (t^2 - 2t\beta) \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 \text{ for any fixed } t \text{ with } 0 < t \leq 2\beta = 1-k \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } \|Wx - Wy\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 \text{ for } W = U_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Wx - Wy\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

$\Rightarrow W$ is nonexpansive

OUR MAIN RESULT IS AS FOLLOWS:

Theorem. Let C be a bounded, closed, convex weakly sequentially compact subset of X and U a strictly pseudocontractive mapping of C into C i.e. there exist a constant $k < 1$

$\|Ux - Uy\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|(I-U)x - (I-U)y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. Then for each $x_0 \in C$ and any fixed γ such that $1-k < \gamma < 1$, $U_\gamma^n x_0 \rightarrow y \in C$ (weakly) and y is a fixed point of U in C . If additionally we assume that U is demicompact then $U_\gamma^n x_0 \rightarrow y$ (strongly)

Proof: Since U is strictly pseudocontractive therefore by theorem 3.2 shows that for every fixed t such that $0 < t \leq k-1$ the mapping $U_t = I + t(U-I) = tU + (I-t)I$ is nonexpansive. By Hicks and Huffmann second theorem we know that if any mapping f is nonexpansive in bounded, closed, convex sequentially compact set then it has a fixed point therefore U_t has a fixed point in C . Let it be $z \in C$ then $U_t(z) = z$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} [tU + (I-t)I]z &= z \\ \Rightarrow tU(z) + (I-t)I(z) &= z \\ \Rightarrow tU(z) + (I-t)z &= z \\ \Rightarrow tU(z) + z - tz &= z \\ \Rightarrow tU(z) &= tz \\ \Rightarrow U(z) &= z \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that z is a fixed point of U in C

Further by Hicks and Huffmann ninth theorem for any $x_0 \in C$ and any fixed λ with $0 < \lambda < 1$ the sequence $\{(U_t)^n x_0\}$ converges weakly to some point y on U in C . But

$$\begin{aligned} (U_t)_\lambda &= \lambda I + (1-\lambda)U_t \\ &= \lambda I + (1-\lambda)[I + t(U-I)] \\ &= (1-\lambda)tU + 1 - (1-\lambda)tI \\ &= \gamma I + (I-\gamma)U \text{ where } \gamma = 1 - (1-\lambda)t \\ &= U_\gamma \end{aligned}$$

Now $\gamma = 1 - (1-\lambda)t$ implies that $\gamma < 1$ also $t \leq k-1$ $1-\lambda < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow t(1-\lambda) &< k-1 \\ \Rightarrow 1-k &< -t(1-\lambda) \\ \Rightarrow 1-k &< 1-t(1-\lambda) \\ \Rightarrow 1-k &< \gamma \\ \Rightarrow 1-k &< \gamma < 1 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of first part of the theorem

To prove the second part we will use the the result of seventh theorem of Hicks and Huffmann theorem and we need only to show that U is demi-compact. Now

$$\begin{aligned} U_\gamma x - x &= [\gamma I + (I-\gamma)U]x - x \\ &= \gamma x - x + (I-\gamma)Ux \end{aligned}$$

$$=(I - \gamma)(Ux - x) \text{ for all } x \in C$$

The demi-compactness of U and above equality proves the second part of the theorem

CONCLUSION:

Finding fixed points of nonlinear mappings especially, nonexpansive mappings has received vast investigations due to its extensive applications in a variety of applied areas of inverse problem, partial differential equations, image recovery and signal processing. It is well known that strictly pseudocontractive mappings have more powerful applications than nonexpansive mappings in solving inverse problems. In this paper, we devote to construct the methods for computing the fixed points of strictly pseudocontractive mappings.

REFERENCES

- 1 F. E. Browder, "Convergence of approximants to fixed points of nonexpansive non-linear mappings in Banach spaces," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 24, pp. 82–90, 1967.
- 2 B. Halpern, "Fixed points of nonexpanding maps," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol.73, pp. 957–961, 1967.
- 3 Z. Opial, "Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 73, pp. 591–597, 1967.
- 4 P. L. Lions, "Approximation de points fixes de contractions," vol. 284, no. 21, pp. A1357–A1359, 1977.
- 5 K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 28 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- 6 R. Wittmann, "Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol.58, no. 5, pp. 486–491, 1992.
- 7 S. Reich and A. J. Zaslavski, "Convergence of Krasnoselskii-Mann iterations of nonexpansive operators," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 32, no. 11–13, pp. 1423–1431, 2000.
- 8 A. T. M. Lau and W. Takahashi, "Fixed point properties for semigroup of nonexpansive mappings on Frechet spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 70, no. 11, pp. 3837–3841, 2009.
- 9 P. L. Combettes and T. Pennanen, "Generalized Mann iterates for constructing fixed points in Hilbertspaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 275, no.

2, pp. 521–536, 2002.

10 H. H. Bauschke, “The approximation of fixed points of compositions of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 202, no. 1, pp. 150–159, 1996.

11 A. Moudafi, “Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-points problems,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 241, no. 1, pp. 46–55, 2000.

12 H. K. Xu, “Viscosity approximation methods for non expansive mappings,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 298, no. 1, pp. 279–291, 2004.

13 S. A. Hirstoaga, “Iterative selection methods for common fixed point problems,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 324, no. 2, pp. 1020–1035, 2006.

14 A. Petrusel and J.-C. Yao, “Viscosity approximation to common fixed points of families of non expansive mappings with generalized contractions mappings,” *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 69, no.4, pp. 1100–1111, 2008.

15 Y. Yao, R. Chen, and Y. C. Liou, “A unified implicit algorithm for solving the triplehierarchical constrained optimization problem,” *Mathematical & Computer Modelling*, vol. 55, no. 3-4, pp. 1506–1515, 2012.